

## METHODS AND REAGENTS FOR DECREASING CLINICAL REACTION TO ALLERGY

### Background of the Invention

5           The United States government has rights in this invention by virtue of grants from the National Institute of Health ROI-AI33596.

This application is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Serial No. 08/717,933 filed September 26, 1996, and claims priority to U.S. Serial No. 60/073,283 filed January 31, 1998; Priority is claimed to U.S. Serial No. 60/074,590 filed February 13, 1998, entitled  
10 "Mutagenized IgE Binding Epitopes for Ara h 1", by A. Wesley Burks, Jr., Ricki M. Helm, Gael Cockrell, Gary A. Bannon, J. Steven Stanley, and David Shin; U.S. Serial No. 60/074,624 filed February 13, 1998, entitled "Peanut Allergen Ara h 3" by A. Wesley Burks, Jr., Hugh Sampson, Gary A. Bannon, Shau-Ku Huang, and Patrick A. Rabjohn; and U.S. Serial No. 60/074,633 filed February 13, 1998, entitled "Mutagenized  
15 IgE Binding Epitopes for Ara h 2" by A. Wesley Burks, Jr., Ricki M. Helm, Gael Cockrell, Gary A. Bannon, J. Steven Stanley, and Nina King; and This application also claims priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 371 to PCT/US96/15222 entitled "Peanut Allergens and Methods" filed September 23, 1996, by University of Arkansas.

          Allergic disease is a common health problem affecting humans and companion  
20 animals (mainly dogs and cats) alike. Allergies exist to foods, molds, grasses, trees, insects, pets, fleas, ticks and other substances present in the environment. It is estimated that up to 8% of young children and 2% of adults have allergic reactions just to foods alone. Some allergic reactions (especially those to foods and insects) can be so severe as to be life threatening. Problems in animals tend to be less severe, but very common. For  
25 example, many dogs and cats have allergies to flea saliva proteins, grasses, and other common substances present in the environment.

          Allergy is manifested by the release of histamines and other mediators of inflammation by mast cells which are triggered into action when IgE antibodies bound to their receptors on the mast cell surface are cross linked by antigen. Other than avoidance,  
30 and drugs (e.g. antihistamines, decongestants, and steroids) that only treat symptoms and can have unfortunate side effects and often only provide temporary relief, the only

currently medically accepted treatment for allergies is immunotherapy. Immunotherapy involves the repeated injection of allergen extracts, over a period of years, to desensitize a patient to the allergen. Unfortunately, traditional immunotherapy is time consuming, usually involving years of treatment, and often fails to achieve its goal of desensitizing the patient to the allergen. Furthermore, it is not the recommended treatment for food allergies, such as peanut allergies, due to the risk of anaphylaxis.

Noon (Noon, *Lancet* 1911; 1:1572-73) first introduced allergen injection immunotherapy in 1911, a practice based primarily on empiricism with non-standardized extracts of variable quality. More recently the introduction of standardized extracts has made it possible to increase the efficacy of immunotherapy, and double-blind placebo-controlled trials have demonstrated the efficacy of this form of therapy in allergic rhinitis, asthma and bee-sting hypersensitivity (BSAC Working Party, *Clin. Exp. Allergy* 1993; 23:1-44). However, increased risk of anaphylactic reactions has accompanied this increased efficacy. For example, initial trials of immunotherapy to food allergens has demonstrated an unacceptable safety:efficacy ratio (Oppenheimer et al. *J. Allergy Clin. Immun.* 1992; 90:256-62; Sampson, *J. Allergy Clin. Immun.* 1992; 90:151-52; Nelson et al. *J. Allergy Clin. Immun.* 1996; 99:744-751). Results like these have prompted investigators to seek alternative forms of immunotherapy as well as to seek other forms of treatment.

Initial trials with allergen-non-specific anti-IgE antibodies to deplete the patient of allergen-specific IgE antibodies have shown early promise (Boulet, et al. 1997; 155:1835-1840; Fahy, et al. *American J Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 1997; 155:1828-1834; Demoly P. and Bousquet J. *American J Resp. Crit. Care Med.* 1997; 155:1825-1827). On the other hand, trials utilizing immunogenic peptides (representing T cell epitopes) have been disappointing (Norman, et al. *J. Aller. Clin. Immunol.* 1997; 99:S127). Another form of allergen-specific immunotherapy which utilizes injection of plasmid DNA (Raz et al. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* 1994; 91:9519-9523; Hz et al. *Int. Immunol.* 1996; 8:1405-1411) remains unproven.

There remains a need for a safe and efficacious therapy for allergies, especially those where traditional immunotherapy is ill advised due to risk to the patient or lack of

efficacy. There is also a need for alternatives to therapies, for example, by creating foods, materials or substances that do not include the allergens that are most problematic, or which contain modified allergens which do not elicit the same reaction. While the technology to make genetically engineered plants and animals is at this point well  
5 established, useful modifications would require understanding how allergens can be modified so that they retain the essential functions for the plants' and animals' nutritional value, taste characteristics, etc., but no longer elicit as severe an allergic response.

It is therefore an object of the present invention to provide a method for decreasing the allergenicity of allergens either by modifying the allergen itself or by  
10 producing a compound that would mask the epitope and thus prevent binding of IgE.

It is a further object of the present invention to provide allergens that elicit fewer IgE mediated responses.

It is still another object of the present invention to provide a method to make genetically engineered plants and animals that elicit less of an allergic response than the  
15 naturally occurring organisms.

### **Summary of the Invention**

It has been determined that allergens, which are characterized by both humoral (IgG and IgE) and cellular (T cell) binding sites, can be made less allergenic by  
20 modifying the IgE binding sites. The IgE binding sites can be eliminated by masking the site with a compound that would prevent IgE binding or by altering as little as a single amino acid within the protein to eliminate IgE binding. The method allows the protein to be altered as minimally as possible, (i.e. only within the IgE-binding sites) while retaining the ability of the protein to activate T cells and, optionally, to bind IgG.  
25 Binding sites are identified using known techniques, such as by binding with antibodies in pooled sera obtained from individuals known to be immunoreactive with the allergen to be modified. Proteins that are modified to alter IgE binding are screened for binding with IgG and/or activation of T cells.

Peanut allergens (Ara h 1, Ara h 2, and Ara h 3) have been used in the examples to demonstrate alteration of IgE binding sites while retaining binding to IgG and activation of T cells. The critical amino acids within each of the IgE binding epitopes of the peanut protein that are important to immunoglobulin binding were determined.

5 Substitution of even a single amino acid within each of the epitopes led to loss of IgE binding. Although the epitopes shared no common amino acid sequence motif, the hydrophobic residues located in the center of the epitope appeared to be most critical to IgE binding.

10 Standard techniques such as a skin test for wheal and flare formation can be used to assess decreased allergenicity of modified proteins, created as described in the examples. The modified allergens can also be tested for binding to IgG and proliferation of T cells, and modified allergens selected for optimal stimulation of T cells and binding IgG.

15 The immunotherapeutics can be delivered by standard techniques, using injection, by aerosol, sublingually, topically (including to a mucosal surface), and by gene therapy (for example, by injection of the gene encoding the immunotherapeutic into muscle or skin where it is transiently expressed for a time sufficient to induce tolerance).

20 This method and the criteria for identifying and altering allergens can be used to design useful proteins (including nucleotide molecules encoding the proteins) for use in immunotherapy, to make a vaccine and to genetically engineer organisms such as plants and animals which then produce proteins with less likelihood of eliciting an IgE response. Techniques for engineering plants and animals are well known. Based on the information obtained using the method described in the examples, one can engineer plants or animals to cause either site specific mutations in the gene encoding the protein(s) of interest, or to  
25 knock out the gene and then insert the gene encoding the modified protein.

### **Brief Description of the Drawings**

Figure 1 shows an example of how IgE binding epitopes were mapped to a specific amino acid sequence on the Ara h 1 allergen. In particular, Figure 1 depicts  
30 twenty-two 10-mer peptides (SEQ ID NOs. 45-66) that span amino acid residues 82-133

(SEQ ID NO. 44) of the Ara h 1 allergen (SEQ ID NO. 2). This region of the Ara h 1 allergen includes epitopes 4, 5, 6, and 7, as identified in Table 1.

Figure 2 shows an example of how IgE binding epitopes were mapped to a specific amino acid sequence on the Ara h 2 allergen. In particular, Figure 2 depicts  
5 seven 10-mer peptides (SEQ ID NOs. 68-74) that span amino acid residues 55-76 (SEQ ID NO. 67) of the Ara h 2 allergen (SEQ ID NO. 4). This region of the Ara h 2 allergen includes epitopes 6 and 7 as identified in Table 2.

Figure 3 shows an example of how IgE binding epitopes were mapped to a specific amino acid sequence on the Ara h 3 allergen. In particular, Figure 3 depicts six  
10 15-mer peptides (SEQ ID NOs. 76-81) that span amino acid residues 299-321 (SEQ ID NO. 75) of the Ara h 3 allergen (SEQ ID NO. 6). This region of the Ara h 3 allergen includes epitope 4 as identified in Table 3.

~~Figure 4 shows how amino acids critical to IgE binding were identified.~~

~~Figure 5A shows the location of altered residues within the Ara h 2 amino acid~~  
15 ~~sequence (SEQ ID NO 4).~~

~~Figure 4 5B shows the effect the modified Ara h 2 protein has on IgE binding.~~

~~Figure 5C shows the effect the modified Ara h 2 protein has on IgG binding.~~

~~Figure 5 6 shows the results of T cell proliferation assays using the wild-type and modified Ara h 2 protein.~~

## Detailed Description of the Invention

### Definitions

The following definitions are used herein.

An antigen is a molecule that elicits production of antibody (a humoral response)  
25 or an antigen-specific reaction with T cells (a cellular response).

An allergen is a subset of antigens which elicits IgE production in addition to other isotypes of antibodies.

An allergic reaction is one that is IgE mediated with clinical symptoms primarily involving the cutaneous (urticaria, angiodema, pruritus), respiratory (wheezing, coughing,

laryngeal edema, rhinorrhea, watery/itching eyes), gastrointestinal (vomiting, abdominal pain, diarrhea), and cardiovascular (if a systemic reaction occurs) systems.

An epitope is a binding site including an amino acid motif of between approximately six and fifteen amino acids which can be bound by either an immunoglobulin or recognized by a T cell receptor when presented by an antigen presenting cell in conjunction with the major histocompatibility complex (MHC). A linear epitope is one where the amino acids are recognized in the context of a simple linear sequence. A conformational epitope is one where the amino acids are recognized in the context of a particular three dimensional structure.

An immunodominant epitope is one which is bound by antibody in a large percentage of the sensitized population or where the titer of the antibody is high, relative to the percentage or titer of antibody reaction to other epitopes present in the same protein.

A decreased allergic reaction is characterized by a decrease in clinical symptoms following treatment of symptoms associated with exposure to an allergen, which can involve respiratory, gastrointestinal, skin, eyes, ears and mucosal surfaces in general.

An antigen presenting cell (an APC) is a cell which processes and presents peptides to T cells to elicit an antigen-specific response.

Immunostimulatory sequences are oligodeoxynucleotides of bacterial, viral or invertebrate origin that are taken-up by APCs and activate them to express certain membrane receptors (e.g., B7-1 and B7-2) and secrete various cytokines (e.g., IL-1, IL-6, IL-12, TNF). These oligodeoxynucleotides containing unmethylated CpG motifs cause brisk activation and when injected into animals in conjunction with antigen, appear to skew the immune response to a Th1-type response. See, for example, Yamamoto, et al., *Microbiol. Immunol.* 36, 983 (1992); Krieg, et al., *Nature* 374, 546-548 (1995); Pisetsky, *Immunity* 5, 303 (1996); and Zimmerman, et al., *J. Immunol.* 160, 3627-3630 (1998).

## **I. Diagnostic and Therapeutic Reagents.**

The first step in making the modified allergen is to identify IgE binding sites and/or immunodominant IgE binding sites. The second step is to mutate one or more of

the IgE binding sites, preferably including at a minimum one of the immunodominant sites, or to react the allergen with a compound that selectively blocks binding to one or more of the IgE binding sites. The third step is to make sufficient amounts of the modified allergen for administration to persons or animals in need of tolerance to the allergen, where the modified allergen is administered in a dosage and for a time to induce tolerance, or for diagnostic purposes. The modified allergen can be administered by injection, or in some cases, by ingestion or inhalation.

#### A. Allergens.

Many allergens are known that elicit allergic responses, which may range in severity from mildly irritating to life-threatening. Food allergies are mediated through the interaction of IgE to specific proteins contained within the food. Examples of common food allergens include proteins from peanuts, milk, grains such as wheat and barley, soybeans, eggs, fish, crustaceans, and mollusks. These account for greater than 90% of the food allergies (Taylor, Food Techn. 39, 146-152 (1992). The IgE binding epitopes from the major allergens of cow milk (Ball, et al. (1994) *Clin. Exp. Allergy*, 24, 758-764), egg (Cooke, S.K. and Sampson, H.R. (1997) *J. Immunol.*, 159, 2026-2032), codfish (Aas, K., and Elsayed, S. (1975) *Dev. Biol. Stand.* 29, 90-98), hazel nut (Elsayed, et al. (1989) *Int. Arch. Allergy Appl. Immunol.* 89, 410-415), peanut (Burks et al., (1997) *Eur. J. Biochemistry*, 245:334-339; Stanley et al., (1997) *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*, 342:244-253), soybean (Herein, et al. (1990) *Int. Arch. Allergy Appl. Immunol.* 92, 193-198) and shrimp (Shanty, et al. (1993) *J. Immunol.* 151, 5354-5363) have all been elucidated, as have others. Other allergens include proteins from insects such as flea, tick, mite, fire ant, cockroach, and bee as well as molds, dust, grasses, trees, weeds, and proteins from mammals including horses, dogs, cats, etc.

The majority of allergens discussed above elicit a reaction when ingested, inhaled, or injected. Allergens can also elicit a reaction based solely on contact with the skin. Latex is a well known example. Latex products are manufactured from a milky fluid derived from the rubber tree, *Hevea brasiliensis* and other processing chemicals. A number of the proteins in latex can cause a range of allergic reactions. Many products contain latex, such as medical supplies and personal protective equipment. Three types

of reactions can occur in persons sensitive to latex: irritant contact dermatitis, and immediate systemic hypersensitivity. Additionally, the proteins responsible for the allergic reactions can fasten to the powder of latex gloves. This powder can be inhaled, causing exposure through the lungs. Proteins found in latex that interact with IgE antibodies were characterized by two-dimensional electrophoresis. Protein fractions of 56, 45, 30, 20, -14, and less than 6.5 kd were detected (Posch A. et al., (1997) *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 99(3), 385-395 ). Acidic proteins in the 8-14 kd and 22 -24 kd range that reacted with IgE antibodies were also identified (Posch A. et al., (1997) *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 99(3), 385-395. The proteins prohevein and hevein, from *hevea brasiliensis*, are known to be major latex allergens and to interact with IgE (Alenius, H., et al., *Clin. Exp. Allergy* 25(7), 659-665; Chen Z., et al., (1997) *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 99(3), 402-409). Most of the IgE binding domains have been shown to be in the hevein domain rather than the domain specific for prohevein (Chen Z., et al., (1997) *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 99(3), 402-409). The main IgE-binding epitope of prohevein is thought to be in the N-terminal, 43 amino acid fragment (Alenius H., et al., (1996) *J. Immunol.* 156(4), 1618-1625). The hevein lectin family of proteins has been shown to have homology with potato lectin and snake venom disintegrins (platelet aggregation inhibitors) (Kielisqewski, M.L., et al., (1994) *Plant J.* 5(6), 849-861).

#### B. Identification of IgE Binding Sites.

Allergens typically have both IgE and IgG binding sites and are recognized by T cells. The binding sites can be determined either by using phage display libraries to identify conformational epitopes (Eichler and Houghten, (1995) *Molecular Medicine Today* 1, 174-180; Jensen-Jarolim et al., (1997) *J. Appl. Clin. Immunol.* 101, 5153a) or by using defined peptides derived from the known amino acid sequence of an allergen (see examples below), or by binding of whole protein or protein fragments to antibodies, typically antibodies obtained from a pooled patient population known to be allergic to the allergen. It is desirable to modify allergens to diminish binding to IgE while retaining their ability to activate T cells and in some embodiments by not significantly altering or decreasing IgG binding capacity. This requires modification of one or more IgE binding sites in the allergen.



A preferred modified allergen is one that can be used with a majority of patients having a particular allergy. Use of pooled sera from allergic patients allows determination of one or more immunodominant epitopes in the allergen. Once some or all of the IgE binding sites are known, it is possible to modify the gene encoding the allergen, using site directed mutagenesis by any of a number of techniques, to produce a modified allergen as described below, and thereby express modified allergens. It is also possible to react the allergen with a compound that achieves the same result as the selective mutation, by making the IgE binding sites inaccessible, but not preventing the modified allergen from activating T cells, and, in some embodiments, by not significantly altering or decreasing IgG binding.

Assays to assess an immunologic change after the administration of the modified allergen are known to those skilled in the art. Conventional assays include RAST (Sampson and Albergo, 1984), ELISAs (Burks, et al. 1986) immunoblotting (Burks, et al. 1988), and *in vivo* skin tests (Sampson and Albergo 1984). Objective clinical symptoms can be monitored before and after the administration of the modified allergen to determine any change in the clinical symptoms.

It may be of value to identify IgEs which interact with conformational rather than linear epitopes. Due to the complexity and heterogeneity of patient serum, it may be difficult to employ a standard immobilized allergen affinity-based approach to directly isolate these IgEs in quantities sufficient to permit their characterization. These problems can be avoided by isolating some or all of the IgEs which interact with conformational epitopes from a combinatorial IgE phage display library.

Steinberger et al. (Steinberger, P., Kraft D. and Valenta R. (1996) "Construction of a combinatorial IgE library from an allergic patient: Isolation and characterization of human IgE Fabs with specificity for the major Timothy Grass pollen antigen," *Phl p. 5 J. Biol. Chem.* 271, 10967-10972) prepared a combinatorial IgE phage display library from mRNA isolated from the peripheral blood mononuclear cells of a grass allergic patient. Allergen-specific IgEs were selected by panning filamentous phage expressing IgE Fabs on their surfaces against allergen immobilized on the wells of 96 well microtiter plates. The cDNAs were then isolated from allergen-binding phage and transformed into E coli

for the production of large quantities of monoclonal, recombinant, allergen-specific IgE Fabs.

If native allergen or full length recombinant allergen is used in the panning step to isolate phage, then Fabs corresponding to IgEs specific for conformational epitopes  
5 should be included among the allergen-specific clones identified. By screening the individual recombinant IgE Fabs against denatured antigen or against the relevant linear epitopes identified for a given antigen, the subset of conformation-specific clones which do not bind to linear epitopes can be defined.

To determine whether the library screening has yielded a complete inventory of  
10 the allergen-specific IgEs present in patient serum, an immunocompetition assay can be performed. Pooled recombinant Fabs would be preincubated with immobilized allergen. After washing to remove unbound Fab, the immobilized allergen would then be incubated with patient serum. After washing to remove unbound serum proteins, an incubation with a reporter-coupled secondary antibody specific for IgE Fc domain would  
15 be performed. Detection of bound reporter would allow quantitation of the extent to which serum IgE was prevented from binding to allergen by recombinant Fab. Maximal, uncompetited serum IgE binding would be determined using allergen which had not been preincubated with Fab or had been incubated with nonsense Fab. If IgE binding persists in the face of competition from the complete set of allergen-specific IgE Fab clones, this  
20 experiment can be repeated using denatured antigen to determine whether the epitopes not represented among the cloned Fabs are linear or conformational.

#### Production of Recombinant or Modified Allergens

A modified allergen will typically be made using recombinant techniques. Expression in a procaryotic or eucaryotic host including bacteria, yeast, and baculovirus-  
25 insect cell systems are typically used to produce large (mg) quantities of the modified allergen. It is also possible to make the allergen synthetically, if the allergen is not too large, for example, less than about 25-40 amino acids in length.

#### Production of Transgenic Plants and Animals

Transgenic plants or animals expressing the modified allergens have two purposes. First, they can be used as a source of modified allergen for use in immunotherapy and second, appropriately modified plants or animals can be substituted for the original plant or animal, making immunotherapy unnecessary. Furthermore, it is possible that eating modified peanuts or cod fish, for example, could have either or both of two effects: (1) not imparting an allergic response on their own and (2) conferring protection from the unmodified source by acting as an immunotherapeutic agent for the unmodified source. Methods for engineering of plants and animals are well known and have been for a decade. For example, for plants see Day, (1996) *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. & Nut.* 36(S), 549-567, the teachings of which are incorporated herein. See also Fuchs and Astwood (1996) *Food Tech.* 83-88 Methods for making recombinant animals are also well established. See, for example, Colman, A. "Production of therapeutic proteins in the milk of transgenic livestock" (1998) *Biochem. Soc. Symp.* 63, 141-147; Espanion and Niemann, (1996) *DTW Dtxch Tierarztl Wochenschr* 103(8-9), 320-328; and Colman, *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 63(4), 639S-6455S, the teachings of which are incorporated herein. One can also induce site specific changes using homologous recombination and/or triplex forming oligomers. See, for example, Rooney and Moore, (1995) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 92, 2141-2149; Agrawal, et al., *BioWorld Today*, vol. 9, no. 41, p. 1 "Chimeriplasty - Gene Surgery, Not Gene Therapy - Fixes Flawed Genomic Sequences" David N. Leff.

## 20      Production and Screening of Compounds blocking IgE Binding Sites

Once the IgE binding sites have been identified, it is also possible to block or limit binding to one or more of these sites by reacting the allergen with a compound that does not prevent the allergen from activating T cells, and in some embodiments does not significantly alter or decrease IgG binding capacity, resulting in a modified allergen similar in functionality to that produced by mutation. There are two principal ways to obtain compounds which block IgE binding sites: combinatorial libraries and combinatorial chemistry.

## Identification of Compounds That Mask IgE Binding Sites through Application of Combinatorial Chemistry

In some cases it may be preferable to utilize non-peptide compounds to block binding of IgE to the allergen by masking the IgE binding epitope. This can be accomplished by using molecules that are selected from a complex mixture of random molecules in what has been referred to as "*in vitro* genetics" or combinatorial chemistry  
5 (Szostak, *TIBS* 19:89, 1992). In this approach a large pool of random and defined sequences is synthesized and then subjected to a selection and enrichment process. The selection and enrichment process involves the binding of the IgE binding epitopes to a solid support, followed by interaction with the products of various combinatorial libraries. Those molecules which do not bind these molecules at all are removed  
10 immediately by elution with a suitable solvent. Those molecules which bind to the epitopes will remain bound to the solid support, whereas, unbound compounds will be removed from the column. Those compounds bound to the column can be removed, for example, by competitive binding. Following removal of these compounds, the compounds which have bound can be identified, using methodology well known to those  
15 of skill in the art, to isolate and characterize those compounds which bind to or interact with IgE binding epitopes. The relative binding affinities of these compounds can be compared and optimum compounds identified using competitive binding studies which are well known to those of skill in the art.

#### Identification of Compounds That Interact with IgE Binding Sites through 20 Application of Combinatorial Phage Display Libraries

Recombinant, monoclonal Fabs directed against conformational epitopes, identified as described above, can be used as reagents to assist in the definition of the biochemical nature of these epitopes. Cross-linking studies employing derivatized Fabs can be employed to label amino acid residues in the vicinity of the epitopes. Similarly,  
25 the Fabs can be used in protease protection studies to identify those domains of the allergen protein which are shielded from protease degradation by pre-binding of a specific Fab. Experiments employing recombinant monoclonal Fabs as reagents to label or protect from labeling should permit at least partial elucidation of the structures of conformational epitopes.

"Humanized" recombinant Fabs should bind to allergens if injected into a patient and thus prevent the binding of these allergens to native IgE. Since the Fabs cannot interact with the Fcε receptor, the binding of the IgE Fabs to allergen would not be expected to elicit mast cell degranulation. Allergen should be neutralized as it is by protective IgGs.

Anti-idiotypic antibodies directed against the conformational epitope-specific Fabs should resemble the conformation epitopes themselves. Injection of these anti-idiotypic antibodies should induce the production of anti-anti-idiotypic IgGs which would recognize, bind to and inactivate the conformational epitopes. The method through which the anti-idiotypic antibodies would be produced (i.e. animal immunization, "*in vitro*" immunization or recombinant phage display library) would have to be determined. Similarly, the possibility that the anti-idiotypic antibodies (which resemble the conformational epitopes) would be recognized by patient IgEs and induce mast cell degranulation needs to be considered.

## II. Diagnostic and Therapeutic Procedures Using Modified Allergens.

It is important to administer the modified allergen to an individual (human or animal) to decrease the clinical symptoms of allergic disease by using a method, dosage, and carrier which are effective. Allergen will typically be administered in an appropriate carrier, such as saline or a phosphate saline buffer. Allergen can be administered by injection subcutaneously, intramuscularly, or intraperitoneally (most humans would be treated by subcutaneous injection), by aerosol, inhaled powder, or by ingestion.

Therapy or desensitization with the modified allergens can be used in combination with other therapies, such as allergen-non-specific anti-IgE antibodies to deplete the patient of allergen-specific IgE antibodies (Boulet, et al. (1997) 155:1835-1840; Fahy, et al. (1997) *American J Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 155:1828-1834; Demoly, P. and Bousquet (1997) *J Am J Resp. Crit. Care Med.* 155:1825-1827), or by the pan specific anti-allergy therapy described in U. S. Serial No. 08/090,375 filed June 4, 1998, by M. Caplan and H. Sosin. Therapy with the modified allergen can also be administered in combination with an adjuvant such as IL-12, IL-16, IL-18, IFNγ ~~IL-12, IL-16, IL-18, IFN-ξ~~.

The nucleotide molecule encoding the modified allergen can also be administered directly to the patient, for example, in a suitable expression vector such as a plasmid, which is injected directly into the muscle or dermis, or through administration of genetically engineered cells.

5 In general, effective dosages will be in the picogram to milligram range, more typically microgram to milligram. Treatment will typically be between twice/weekly and once a month, continuing for up to three to five years, although this is highly dependent on the individual patient response.

The modified allergen can also be used as a diagnostic to characterize the patient's  
10 allergies, using techniques such as those described in the examples.

### EXAMPLES

Peanut allergy is one of the most common and serious of the immediate hypersensitivity reactions to foods in terms of persistence and severity of reaction. Unlike the clinical symptoms of many other food allergies, the reactions to peanuts are  
15 rarely outgrown, therefore, most diagnosed children will have the disease for a lifetime (Sampson, H.A., and Burks, A.W. (1996) *Annu. Rev. Nutr.* 16, 161-77; Bock, S.A. (1985) *J. Pediatr.* 107, 676-680). The majority of cases of fatal food-induced anaphylaxis involve ingestion of peanuts (Sampson et al., (1992) *NEJM* 327, 380-384; Kaminogawa, S. (1996) *Biosci. Biotech. Biochem.* 60, 1749-1756). The only effective therapeutic  
20 option currently available for the prevention of a peanut hypersensitivity reaction is food avoidance. Unfortunately, for a ubiquitous food such as a peanut, the possibility of an inadvertent ingestion is great.

The examples described below demonstrate identification, modification, and assessment of allergenicity of the major peanut allergens, Ara h 1, Ara h 2, and Ara h 3.  
25 Detailed experimental procedures are included for Example 1. These same procedures were used for Examples 2-5. The nucleotide sequences of Ara h 1, Ara h 2, and Ara h 3, are shown in SEQ ID NOs. 1, 3, and 5, respectively. The amino acid sequences of Ara h 1, Ara h 2, and Ara h 3 are shown in SEQ ID NOs. 2, 4, and 6 respectively.

#### **Example 1: Identification of linear IgE binding epitopes.**

Due to the significance of the allergic reaction and the widening use of peanuts as protein extenders in processed foods, there is increasing interest in defining the allergenic proteins and exploring ways to decrease the risk to the peanut-sensitive individual. Various studies over the last several years have identified the major allergens in peanuts as belonging to different families of seed storage proteins (Burks, et al. (1997) *Eur. J. Biochem.* 245, 334-339; Stanley, et al. (1997) *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 342, 244-253). The major peanut allergens Ara h 1, Ara h 2, and Ara h 3 belong to the vicilin, conglutin and glycinin families of seed storage proteins, respectively. These allergens are abundant proteins found in peanuts and are recognized by serum IgE from greater than 95% of peanut sensitive individuals, indicating that they are the major allergens involved in the clinical etiology of this disease (Burks, et al. (1995) *J. Clinical Invest.*, 96, 1715-1721). The genes encoding Ara h 1 (SEQ ID NO. 1), Ara h 2 (SEQ ID NO. 3), and Ara h 3 (SEQ ID NO. 5) and the proteins encoded by these genes (SEQ ID NOs. 2, 4, 6) have been isolated and characterized. The following studies were conducted to identify the IgE epitopes of these allergens recognized by a population of peanut hypersensitive patients and a means for modifying their affinity for IgE.

### **Experimental Procedures**

**Serum IgE.** Serum from 15 patients with documented peanut hypersensitivity reactions (mean age, 25 yrs) was used to determine relative binding affinities between wild-type and mutant Ara h 1 synthesized epitopes. The patients had either a positive double-blind, placebo-controlled, food challenge or a convincing history of peanut anaphylaxis (laryngeal edema, severe wheezing, and/or hypotension; Burks, et al. (1988) *J. Pediatr.* 113, 447-451). At least 5 ml of venous blood was drawn from each patient, allowed to clot, and serum was collected. A serum pool from 12 to 15 patients was made by mixing equal aliquots of serum IgE from each patient. The pools were then used in immunoblot analysis.

**Peptide synthesis.** Individual peptides were synthesized on a derivatized cellulose membrane using 9-fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl (Fmoc) amino acid active esters according to the manufacturer's instructions (Geriosys Biotechnologies, Woodlands, Texas; Fields, G.B and Noble, R.L. (1990) *Int. J. Peptide Protein Res.* 35, 161-214).

Fmoc-amino acids (N-terminal blocked) with protected side chains were coupled in the presence of 1-methyl-2-pyrrolidone to a derivatized cellulose membrane. Following washing with dimethylformamide (DMF), unreacted terminal amino groups were blocked from further reactions by acetylation with acetic anhydride. The N-terminal Fmoc  
5 blocking group was then removed by reaction with 20% piperidine and 80% DMF, v/v. The membrane was washed in DMF followed by methanol, the next reactive Fmoc-amino acid was then coupled as before, and the sequence of reactions was repeated with the next amino acid. When peptide synthesis was complete, the side chains were deprotected with a mixture of dichloromethane (DCM), trifluoroacetic acid, and  
10 triisobutylsilane (1.0:1.0:0.5), followed by successive washes in DCM, DMF, and methanol. Peptides synthesis reactions were monitored by bromophenol blue color reactions during certain steps of synthesis. Cellulose derivitised membranes and Fmoc-amino acids were supplied by Genosys Biotechnologies. All other chemical were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Company, Inc. (Milwaukee, WI) or Fluka (Bucks,  
15 Switzerland). Membranes were either probed immediately or stored at -20°C until needed.

***IgE binding assays.*** Cellulose membranes containing synthesized peptides were washed 3 times in Tris-buffered saline (TBS; 136 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, and 50 mM trizma base pH 8.0) for 10 min at room temperature (RT) and then incubated overnight in  
20 blocking buffer: [TBS, 0.05% Tween<sup>TM</sup> 20; concentrated membrane blocking buffer supplied by Genosys; and sucrose (0.0:1.0:0.5)]. The membrane was then incubated in pooled sera diluted in 1:5 in 20 mM Tris-Cl pH7.5, 150 mM NaCl, and 1% bovine serum albumin overnight at 4°C. Primary antibody was detected with <sup>125</sup>I-labeled equine anti-human IgE (Kallestad, Chaska, MN).

25 ***Quantitation of IgE binding.*** Relative amounts of IgE binding to individual peptides were determined by a Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA) model GS-700 imaging laser densitometer and quantitated with Bio-Rad molecular analyst software. A background area was scanned and subtracted from the obtained values. Following quantitation, wild-type intensities were normalized to a value of one and the mutants were calculated as  
30 percentages relative to the wild-type.



***Synthesis and purification of recombinant Ara h 2 protein.*** cDNA encoding Ara h 2 was placed in the pET-24b expression vector. The pET-24 expression vector places a 6 x histidine tag at the carboxyl end of the inserted protein. The histidine tag allows the recombinant protein to be purified by affinity purification on a nickel column (HisBind resin). Recombinant Ara h 2 was expressed and purified according to the instructions of the pET system manual. Briefly, expression of the recombinant Ara h 2 was induced in 200 ml cultures of strain BL21(DE3) E. coli with 1 mM IPTG at mid log phase. Cultures were allowed to continue for an additional 3 hours at 36°C. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 2000 x g for 15 minutes and then lysed in denaturing binding buffer (6 M urea, 5 mM imidazole, 0.5 M NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.9). Lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 39,000 x g for 20 minutes followed by filtration through 0.45 micron filters. The cleared lysate was applied to a 10 ml column of HisBind resin, washed with imidazole wash buffer (20 mM imidazole, 6 M urea, 0.5 M NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.9). The recombinant Ara h 2 was then released from the column using elution buffer (1 M imidazole, 0.5 M NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.9). The elution buffer was replaced with phosphate buffered saline by dialysis. The purification of recombinant Ara h 2 was followed by SDS PAGE and immunoblots. Peanut specific serum IgE was used as a primary antibody.

***Skin prick tests.*** The ability of purified native and recombinant Ara h 2 to elicit the IgE mediated degranulation of mast cells was evaluated using prick skin tests in a peanut allergic individual. An individual meeting the criteria for peanut allergy (convincing history or positive double blind placebo controlled food challenge) and a non-allergic control were selected for the testing. Purified native and recombinant Ara h 2 and whole peanut extract (Greer Laboratories, Lenoir, N.C) were tested. Twenty microliters of the test solution were applied to the forearm of the volunteer and the skin beneath pricked with a sterile needle. Testing was started at the lowest concentration (less than or equal to 1 mg/ml) and increased ten fold each round to the highest concentration or until a positive reaction was observed. Mean diameters of the wheal and erythema were measured and compared to the negative saline control. A positive reaction was defined as a wheal 3mm larger than the negative control. Histamine was used as the positive control.

## Results

*Identification of the linear IgE-binding epitopes of Ara h 1, Ara h 2 and Ara h 3 allergens.* Epitope mapping was performed on the Ara h 1, Ara h 2 and Ara h 3 allergens by synthesizing each of these proteins in 15 amino acid long overlapping peptides that were offset from each other by 8 amino acids. The peptides were then probed with a pool of serum IgE from 15 patients with documented peanut hypersensitivity. This analysis resulted in multiple IgE binding regions being identified for each allergen. The exact position of each IgE binding epitope was then determined by re-synthesizing these IgE reactive regions as 10 or 15 amino acid long peptides that were offset from each other by two amino acids. These peptides were probed with the same pool of serum IgE from peanut sensitive patients as used before. An example of this procedure for each of the peanut allergens is shown in ~~Figures~~ Figs. 1-3. Figure 1 depicts twenty-two 10-mer peptides (SEQ ID NOs. 45-66) that span amino acid residues 82-133 (SEQ ID NO. 44) of the Ara h 1 allergen (SEQ ID NO. 2). This region of the Ara h 1 allergen includes epitopes 4, 5, 6, and 7, as identified in Table 1. Figure 2 depicts seven 10-mer peptides (SEQ ID NOs. 68-74) that span amino acid residues 55-76 (SEQ ID NO. 67) of the Ara h 2 allergen (SEQ ID NO. 4). This region of the Ara h 2 allergen includes epitopes 6 and 7 as identified in Table 2. Figure 3 depicts six 15-mer peptides (SEQ ID NOs. 76-81) that span amino acid residues 299-321 (SEQ ID NO. 75) of the Ara h 3 allergen (SEQ ID NO. 6). This region of the Ara h 3 allergen includes epitope 4 as identified in Table 3. (~~Ara h 1—Fig. 1; Ara h 2—Fig. 2; Ara h 3—Fig. 3~~). This analysis revealed that there were 23 linear IgE binding epitopes on Ara h 1, 10 epitopes on Ara h 2, and 4 epitopes on Ara h 3.

In an effort to determine which, if any, of the epitopes were recognized by the majority of patients with peanut hypersensitivity, each set of epitopes identified for the peanut allergens were synthesized and then probed individually with serum IgE from 10 different patients. All of the patient sera tested recognized multiple epitopes.

Table 1 shows the amino acid sequence and position of each epitope within the Ara h 1 protein (SEQ ID NO. 2) of all 23 IgE binding epitopes mapped to this molecule. Table 2 shows the amino acid sequence and position of each epitope within the Ara h 2 protein (SEQ ID NO. 4) of all 10 IgE binding epitopes mapped to this molecule. Table 3

shows the amino acid sequence and position of each epitope within the Ara h 3 protein (SEQ ID NO. 6) of all 4 IgE binding epitopes mapped to this molecule.

Four epitopes of the Ara h 1 allergen (peptides 1, 3, 4, 17 of Table 1), three epitopes of the Ara h 2 allergen (peptides 3, 6, 7 of Table 2), and one epitope of the Ara h 3 allergen (peptide 2 of Table 3) were immunodominant.

**Table 1. Ara h 1 IgE binding epitopes**

<b>EPITOPE</b>	<b>AA SEQUENCE</b>	<b>POSITION</b>	<b><u>SEQ ID NO.</u></b>
1	AKSSPYQKKT	25-34	<u>7</u>
2	QEPDDLKQKA	48-57	<u>8</u>
3	LEYDPRLVYD	65-74	<u>9</u>
4	GERTRGRQPG	89-98	<u>10</u>
5	PGDYDDDRRQ	97-106	<u>11</u>
6	PRREEGGRWG	107-116	<u>12</u>
7	REREEDWRQP	123-132	<u>13</u>
8	EDWRRPSHQQ	134-143	<u>14</u>
9	QPRKIRPEGR	143-152	<u>15</u>
10	TPGQFEDFFP	294-303	<u>16</u>
11	SYLQEFSTRNT	311-320	<u>17</u>
12	FNAEFNEIRR	325-334	<u>18</u>
13	EQEERGQRRW	344-353	<u>19</u>
14	DITNPINLRE	393-402	<u>20</u>
15	NNFGKLFQVK	409-418	<u>21</u>
16	GTGNLELVAV	461-470	<u>22</u>
17	RRYTARLKEG	498-507	<u>23</u>
18	ELHLLGFGIN	525-534	<u>24</u>
19	HRIFLAGDKD	539-548	<u>25</u>
20	IDQIEKQAKD	551-560	<u>26</u>
21	KDLAFPGSGE	559-568	<u>27</u>
22	KESHFVSARP	578-587	<u>28</u>
23	PEKESPEKED	597-606	<u>29</u>

The underlined portions of each peptide are the smallest IgE binding sequences as determined by this analysis. All of these sequences can be found in SEQ ID NO. 2.

**Table 2. Ara h 2 IgE binding epitopes**

EPITOPE	AA SEQUENCE	POSITION	<u>SEQ ID NO.</u>
1	<u>HASARQQWEL</u>	15-24	<u>30</u>
2	<u>QWELQGDRRC</u>	21-30	<u>31</u>
3	<u>DRRCQSQLER</u>	27-36	<u>32</u>
4	<u>LRPCEQHLMQ</u>	39-48	<u>33</u>
5	<u>KIQRDEDSYE</u>	49-58	<u>34</u>
6	<u>YERDPYSPSQ</u>	57-66	<u>35</u>
7	<u>SQDPYSPSPY</u>	65-74	<u>36</u>
8	<u>DRLQGRQQEQ</u>	115-124	<u>37</u>
9	<u>KRELRLNPQQ</u>	127-136	<u>38</u>
10	<u>QRCDLDVESG</u>	143-152	<u>39</u>

The underlined portions of each peptide are the smallest IgE binding sequences as determined by this analysis. All of these sequences can be found in SEQ ID NO. 4.

**Table 3. Ara h 3 IgE binding epitopes**

EPITOPE	AA SEQUENCE	POSITION	<u>SEQ ID NO.</u>
1	<u>IETWNPNNQEFECAG</u>	33-47	<u>40</u>
2	<u>GNIFSGFTPEFLEQA</u>	240-254	<u>41</u>
3	<u>VTVRGGLRILSPDRK</u>	279-293	<u>42</u>
4	<u>DEDEYEYDEEDRRRG</u>	303-317	<u>43</u>

- 5 The underlined portions of each peptide are the smallest IgE binding sequences as determined by this analysis. All of these sequences can be found in SEQ ID NO. 6.

**Example 2: Modification of peanut allergens to decrease allergenicity.**

- The major linear IgE binding epitopes of the peanut allergens were mapped using overlapping peptides synthesized on an activated cellulose membrane and pooled serum
- 10 IgE from 15 peanut sensitive patients, as described in Example 1. The size of the epitopes ranged from six to fifteen amino acids in length. The amino acids essential to IgE binding in each of the epitopes were determined by synthesizing duplicate peptides with single amino acid changes at each position. These peptides were then probed with pooled serum IgE from 15 patients with peanut hypersensitivity to determine if the
- 15 changes affected peanut-specific IgE binding. For example, epitope 9 in Table 1 was synthesized with an alanine or methionine residue substituted for one of the amino acids and probed. The following amino acids were substituted (first letter is the one-letter

amino acid code for the residue normally at the position, the residue number, followed by the amino acid that was substituted for this residue; the numbers indicate the position of each residue in the Ara h 1 protein, SEQ ID NO. 2): Q143A, P144A; R145A; K146A; I147A; R148A; P149A; E150A; G151A; R152A; Q143M; P144M; R145M; K146M; I147M; R148M; P149M; E150M; G151M; R152M. The immunoblot strip containing the wild-type and mutated peptides of epitope 9 showed that binding of pooled serum IgE to individual peptides was dramatically reduced when either alanine or methionine was substituted for each of the amino acids at positions 144, 145, and 147-150 of Ara h 1 shown in SEQ ID NO. 2. Changes at positions 144, 145, 147, and 148 of Ara h 1 shown in SEQ ID NO. 2 had the most dramatic effect when methionine was substituted for the wild-type amino acid, resulting in less than 1% of peanut specific IgE binding to these peptides. In contrast, the substitution of an alanine for arginine at position 152 of Ara h 1 shown in SEQ ID NO. 2 resulted in increased IgE binding. The remaining Ara h 1 epitopes, and the Ara h 2 and Ara h 3 epitopes, were tested in the same manner and the intensity of IgE binding to each spot was determined as a percentage of IgE binding to the wild-type peptide. Any amino acid substitution that resulted in less than 1% of IgE binding when compared to the wild-type peptide was noted and is indicated in Tables 4-6. Table 4 shows the amino acids that were determined to be critical to IgE binding in each of the Ara h 1 epitopes. Table 5 shows the amino acids that were determined to be critical to IgE binding in each of the Ara h 2 epitopes. Table 6 shows the amino acids that were determined to be critical to IgE binding in each of the Ara h 3 epitopes.

This analysis indicated that each epitope could be mutated to a non-IgE binding-peptide by the substitution of a single amino acid residue.

The results discussed above for Ara h 1, Ara h 2, and Ara h 3 demonstrate that once an IgE binding site has been identified, it is possible to reduce IgE binding to this site by altering a single amino acid of the epitope. The observation that alteration of a single amino acid leads to the loss of IgE binding in a population of peanut-sensitive individuals is significant because it suggests that while each patient may display a polyclonal IgE reaction to a particular allergen, IgE from different patients that recognize the same epitope must interact with that epitope in a similar fashion. Besides finding that many epitopes contained more than one residue critical for IgE binding, it was also

determined that more than one residue type (ala or met) could be substituted at certain positions in an epitope with similar results. This allows for the design of a hypoallergenic protein that would be effective at blunting allergic reactions for a population of peanut sensitive individuals. Furthermore, the creation of a plant producing a peanut where the IgE binding epitopes of the major allergens have been removed should prevent the development of peanut hypersensitivity in individuals genetically predisposed to this food allergy.

**Table 4. Amino acids critical to IgE binding of Ara h 1**

EPITOPE	AA SEQUENCE	POSITION	<u>SEQ ID NO.</u>
1	AKS <b><u>SPYQ</u></b> KKT	23-34	<u>7</u>
2	QEP <b><u>DDLK</u></b> QKA	48-57	<u>8</u>
3	LE <b><u>YDPRLV</u></b> YD	65-74	<u>9</u>
4	GE <b><u>TRGRQ</u></b> PG	89-98	<u>10</u>
5	PGDYDD <b><u>DRRQ</u></b>	97-106	<u>11</u>
6	PRREE <b><u>GGRWG</u></b>	107-116	<u>12</u>
7	REREED <b><u>WRQP</u></b>	123-132	<u>13</u>
8	EDW <b><u>RRP</u></b> SHQQ	134-143	<u>14</u>
9	<b><u>QPRKIR</u></b> PEGR	143-152	<u>15</u>
10	T <b><u>PGQFEDFFP</u></b>	294-303	<u>16</u>
11	SY <b><u>LQEF</u></b> SRNT	311-320	<u>17</u>
12	<b><u>FNAEFNE</u></b> IRR	325-334	<u>18</u>
13	EQEER <b><u>GQRRW</u></b>	344-353	<u>19</u>
14	DIT <b><u>NPINL</u></b> RE	393-402	<u>20</u>
15	NNFGK <b><u>LF</u></b> EVK	409-418	<u>21</u>
17	<b><u>RRYTARL</u></b> KEG	498-507	<u>23</u>
18	EL <b><u>HLLGFG</u></b> IN	525-534	<u>24</u>
19	HRIFLAGD <b><u>KD</u></b>	539-548	<u>25</u>
20	IDQ <b><u>IEKQAKD</u></b>	551-560	<u>26</u>
21	KDLA <b><u>FPGSGE</u></b>	559-568	<u>27</u>
22	KESHFV <b><u>S</u></b> ARP	578-587	<u>28</u>

*Note.* The Ara h 1 IgE binding epitopes are indicated as the single letter amino acid code. The position of each peptide with respect to the Ara h 1 protein (SEQ ID NO. 2) is indicated in the right hand column. The amino acids that, when altered, lead to loss of IgE binding are shown as the bold, underlined residues. Epitopes 16 and 23 were not included in this study because they were recognized by a single patient who was no longer available to the study. All of these sequences can be found in SEQ ID NC. 2.

**Table 5. Amino acids critical to IgE binding of Ara h 2**

EPITOPE	AA SEQUENCE	POSITION	<u>SEQ ID NO.</u>
1	HASAR <b><u>Q</u></b> WEL	15-24	<u>30</u>
2	<b><u>Q</u></b> WEL <b><u>Q</u></b> GDRRC	21-30	<u>31</u>
3	D <b><u>R</u></b> R <b><u>C</u></b> <b><u>Q</u></b> S <b><u>Q</u></b> L <b><u>E</u></b> R	27-36	<u>32</u>
4	<b><u>L</u></b> R <b><u>P</u></b> C <b><u>E</u></b> <b><u>Q</u></b> HLMQ	39-48	<u>33</u>
5	<b><u>K</u></b> I <b><u>Q</u></b> <b><u>R</u></b> <b><u>D</u></b> <b><u>E</u></b> D <b><u>S</u></b> Y <b><u>E</u></b>	49-58	<u>34</u>
6	YER <b><u>D</u></b> <b><u>P</u></b> <b><u>Y</u></b> SPSQ	57-66	<u>35</u>
7	S <b><u>Q</u></b> <b><u>D</u></b> <b><u>P</u></b> <b><u>Y</u></b> SPSPY	65-74	<u>36</u>
8	DRL <b><u>Q</u></b> <b><u>G</u></b> R <b><u>Q</u></b> <b><u>Q</u></b> E <b><u>Q</u></b>	115-124	<u>37</u>
9	<b><u>K</u></b> R <b><u>E</u></b> L <b><u>R</u></b> N <b><u>L</u></b> P <b><u>Q</u></b> <b><u>Q</u></b>	127-136	<u>38</u>
10	<b><u>Q</u></b> R <b><u>C</u></b> <b><u>D</u></b> L <b><u>D</u></b> <b><u>V</u></b> <b><u>E</u></b> S <b><u>G</u></b>	143-152	<u>39</u>

*Note:* The Ara h 2 IgE binding epitopes are indicated as the single letter amino acid code. The position of each peptide with respect to the Ara h 2 protein (SEQ ID NO. 4) is indicated ~~in the right hand column~~. The amino acids that, when altered, lead to loss of IgE binding are shown as the bold, underlined residues. All of these sequences can be found in SEQ ID NO. 4.

**Table 6. Amino acids critical to IgE binding of Ara h 3**

EPITOPE	AA SEQUENCE	POSITION	<u>SEQ ID NO.</u>
1	IETWN <b><u>P</u></b> NN <b><u>Q</u></b> E <b><u>F</u></b> E <b><u>C</u></b> A <b><u>G</u></b>	33-47	<u>40</u>
2	GN <b><u>I</u></b> <b><u>F</u></b> S <b><u>G</u></b> <b><u>F</u></b> T <b><u>P</u></b> E <b><u>F</u></b> L <b><u>E</u></b> Q <b><u>A</u></b>	240-254	<u>41</u>
3	VTVRGG <b><u>L</u></b> R <b><u>I</u></b> LSPDRK	279-293	<u>42</u>
4	DEDEY <b><u>E</u></b> <b><u>Y</u></b> <b><u>D</u></b> <b><u>E</u></b> <b><u>E</u></b> D <b><u>R</u></b> R <b><u>R</u></b> G	303-317	<u>43</u>

*Note:* The Ara h 3 IgE binding epitopes are indicated as the single letter amino acid code. The position of each peptide with respect to the Ara h 3 protein (SEQ ID NO. 6) is indicated ~~in the right hand column~~. The amino acids that, when altered, lead to loss of IgE binding are shown as the bold, underlined. All of these sequences can be found in SEQ ID NO. 6.

**Example 3: A modified Ara h 2 protein binds less IgE but similar amounts of IgG.**

In order to determine the effect of changes to multiple epitopes within the context of the intact allergen, four epitopes (including the three immunodominant epitopes) of the Ara h 2 allergen were mutagenized and the protein produced recombinantly. The amino acids at position 20, 31, 60, and 67 of the Ara h 2 protein (shown in SEQ ID NO. 4 and

Figure 4A) were changed to alanine by mutagenizing the gene encoding this protein by standard techniques. These residues are located in epitopes 1, 3, 6, and 7 and represent amino acids critical to IgE binding that were determined in Example 2. The modified and wild-type versions of this protein were produced and immunoblot analysis performed  
 5 using serum from peanut sensitive patients. These results showed that the modified version of this allergen bound significantly less IgE than the wild-type version of these recombinant proteins (~~Figure 4B~~) but bound similar amounts of IgG.

**Example 4: A modified Ara h 2 protein retains the ability to stimulate T cells to proliferate.**

10 The modified recombinant Ara h 2 protein described in Example 3 was used in T cell proliferation assays to determine if it retained the ability to activate T cells from peanut sensitive individuals. Proliferation assays were performed on T cell lines grown in short-term culture developed from six peanut sensitive patients. T cells lines were stimulated with either 50 µg of crude peanut extract, 10 µg of native Ara h 2, 10 µg of  
 15 recombinant wild-type Ara h 2, or 10 µg of modified recombinant Ara h 2 protein and the amount of 3H-thymidine determined for each cell line. Results were expressed as the average stimulation index (SI) which reflected the fold increase in 3H-thymidine incorporation exhibited by cells challenged with allergen when compared with media treated controls (Figure 4 5).

20 **Example 5: A modified Ara h 2 protein elicits a smaller wheal and flare in skin prick tests of a peanut sensitive individual.**

The modified recombinant Ara h 2 protein described in Example 3 and the wild-type version of this recombinant protein were used in a skin prick test of a peanut sensitive individual. Ten micrograms of these proteins were applied separately to the  
 25 forearm of a peanut sensitive individual, the skin pricked with a sterile needle, and 10 minutes later any wheal and flare that developed was measured. The wheal and flare produced by the wild-type Ara h 2 protein (8 mm X 7 mm) was approximately twice as large as that produced by the modified Ara h 2 protein (4 mm X 3 mm). A control subject (no peanut hypersensitivity) tested with the same proteins had no visible wheal  
 30 and flare but, as expected, gave positive results when challenged with histamine. In



addition, the test subject gave no positive results when tested with PBS alone. These results indicate that an allergen with only 40% of its IgE binding epitopes modified (4/10) can give measurable reduction in reactivity in an *in vivo* test of a peanut sensitive patient.

These same techniques can be used with the other known peanut allergens, Ara h  
5 1 (SEQ ID NOs. 1 and 2), Ara h 3 (SEQ ID NOs. 5 and 6), or any other allergen.

Modifications and variations of the methods and materials described herein will be obvious to those skilled in the art. Such modifications and variations are intended to come within the scope of the appended claims.

1 We claim:

2  
3 37. A method of making a modified allergen which is less reactive with IgE  
4 comprising:

5 (a) identifying one or more IgE binding sites in an allergen, the one or more  
6 IgE binding sites being ones that are recognized when the allergen is contacted with  
7 serum IgE from an individual that is allergic to the allergen;

8 (b) modifying the allergen by mutating at least one amino acid in one or more  
9 IgE binding sites;

10 (c) screening for IgE binding to the modified allergen using serum IgE from  
11 an individual that is allergic to the allergen; and

12 (d) selecting the modified allergens which have decreased binding to IgE as  
13 compared to the unmodified allergen.

14  
15 38. The method of claim 37 further comprising screening for activation of T cells that  
16 have been cultured from an individual that is allergic to the allergen and selecting the  
17 modified allergens which activate the T cells in substantially the same way as the  
18 unmodified allergen.

19  
20 39. The method of claim 37 further comprising screening for binding of the modified  
21 allergen to IgG using serum IgG from an individual that is allergic to the allergen and  
22 selecting the modified allergens which bind IgG in substantially the same way as the  
23 unmodified allergen.

24  
25 40. The method of claim 37 wherein the modified allergen is mutated in the center of  
26 one or more of the IgE binding sites.

27  
28 41. The method of claim 37 wherein the modified allergen is mutated by substitution.  
29

- 1    42.    The method of claim 41 wherein the modified allergen is mutated by substituting  
2    a hydrophobic amino acid in the center of one or more of the IgE binding sites with a  
3    neutral or hydrophilic amino acid.  
4
- 5    43.    The method of claim 37 wherein the modified allergen is a portion of the allergen.  
6
- 7    44.    The method of claim 37 wherein the modified allergen is formulated with an  
8    adjuvant selected from the group consisting of IL-12, IL-16, IL-18, IFN $\gamma$  and immune  
9    stimulatory oligodeoxynucleotide sequences containing unmethylated CpG motifs which  
10   cause brisk activation and skew the immune response to a Th1-type response.  
11
- 12   45.    The method of claim 37 wherein the modified allergen is screened for initiation of  
13   a T cell helper 1 response.  
14
- 15   46.    The method of claim 37 wherein the modified allergen is made in a recombinant  
16   host selected from the group consisting of plants, animals, bacteria, yeast, fungi, and  
17   insect cells.  
18
- 19   47.    The method of claim 37 wherein the modified allergen is made in cells using site  
20   specific mutation.  
21
- 22   48.    The method of claim 37 wherein the modified allergen is made from a peanut  
23   allergen selected from the group consisting of Ara h 1, Ara h 2, and Ara h 3.  
24
- 25   49.    The method of claim 37 wherein the modified allergen is based on a protein  
26   obtained from a source selected from the group consisting of legumes, milks, grains,  
27   eggs, fish, crustaceans, mollusks, insects, molds, dust, grasses, trees, weeds, mammals,  
28   birds, and natural latexes.  
29
- 30   50.    The method of claim 37, wherein the step of modifying includes mutating at least  
31   one amino acid in all the IgE epitopes of the allergen.

1  
2 51. The method of claim 37, wherein the at least one IgE epitope is one that is  
3 recognized when the allergen is contacted with a pool of sera IgE taken from a group of  
4 at least two individuals that are allergic to the allergen.

5  
6 52. A method of making a modified food allergen which is less reactive with IgE  
7 comprising:

8 (a) identifying one or more IgE binding sites in a food allergen, the one or  
9 more IgE binding sites being ones that are recognized when the food allergen is contacted  
10 with serum IgE from an individual that is allergic to the food allergen;

11 (b) modifying the food allergen by mutating at least one amino acid in one or  
12 more IgE binding sites;

13 (c) screening for IgE binding to the modified food allergen using serum IgE  
14 from an individual that is allergic to the food allergen; and

15 (d) selecting the modified food allergens which have decreased binding to IgE  
16 as compared to the unmodified food allergen.

17  
18 53. The method of claim 52 wherein the modified allergen is based on a protein  
19 obtained from a source selected from the group consisting of legumes, milks, grains,  
20 eggs, fish, crustaceans, and mollusks.

21  
22 54. The method of claim 53 wherein the modified allergen is based on a protein  
23 obtained from a source selected from the group consisting of wheat, barley, cow milk,  
24 egg, codfish, hazel nut, soybean, and shrimp.

25  
26 55. A method of making a modified peanut allergen which is less reactive with IgE  
27 comprising:

28 (a) identifying one or more IgE binding sites in a peanut allergen, the one or  
29 more IgE binding sites being ones that are recognized when the peanut allergen is  
30 contacted with serum IgE from an individual that is allergic to the peanut allergen;

1           (b) modifying the peanut allergen by mutating at least one amino acid in one  
2 or more IgE binding sites;

3           (c) screening for IgE binding to the modified peanut allergen using serum IgE  
4 from an individual that is allergic to the peanut allergen; and

5           (d) selecting the modified peanut allergens which have decreased binding to  
6 IgE as compared to the unmodified peanut allergen.

7  
8 56. The method of claim 55 wherein the modified peanut allergen is made from a  
9 peanut allergen selected from the group consisting of Ara h 1, Ara h 2, and Ara h 3.

10  
11 57. The method of claim 37, 52, or 55, wherein the step of modifying includes  
12 modifying at least 1-6 amino acids in at least one IgE epitope of the allergen.

13  
14 58. The method of claim 37, 52, or 55, wherein the step of modifying includes  
15 modifying at least 1-5 amino acids in at least one IgE epitope of the allergen.

16  
17 59. The method of claim 37, 52, or 55, wherein the step of modifying includes  
18 modifying at least 1-4 amino acids in at least one IgE epitope of the allergen.

19  
20 60. The method of claim 37, 52, or 55, wherein the step of modifying includes  
21 modifying at least 1-3 amino acids in at least one IgE epitope of the allergen.

22  
23 61. The method of claim 37, 52, or 55, wherein the step of modifying includes  
24 modifying at least 1-2 amino acids in at least one IgE epitope of the allergen.

25  
26 62. The method of claim 37, 52, or 55, wherein the step of selecting includes  
27 selecting the modified allergens which bind to IgE at levels that are less than about 1% of  
28 those observed with the unmodified allergen.

29  
30 1. ~~A method of making a modified allergen which is less reactive with IgE~~  
31 ~~comprising~~

- 1           (a) ~~identifying IgE binding sites in an allergen;~~
- 2           (b) ~~modifying the allergen by mutating at least one amino acid in an IgE~~  
3 ~~binding site or reacting the allergen with a compound blocking binding to at least one~~  
4 ~~amino acid in an IgE binding site;~~
- 5           (c) ~~screening for IgE binding to the modified allergen using serum or~~  
6 ~~antibodies from a pooled patient population and screening for activation of T cells; and~~
- 7           (d) ~~selecting the modified allergens which have decreased binding to IgE as~~  
8 ~~compared to the unmodified allergen and which activate T cells.~~

9

10   2.     ~~The method of claim 1 further comprising screening for binding of the modified~~  
11 ~~allergen for binding to IgG and selecting the modified allergens which have decreased~~  
12 ~~binding to IgE, activate T cells and bind to IgG.~~

13

14   3.     ~~The method of claim 1 wherein the modified allergen is mutated in the center of~~  
15 ~~one or more of the IgE binding sites.~~

16

17   4.     ~~The method of claim 1 wherein the modified allergen is mutated by substituting a~~  
18 ~~hydrophobic amino acid in the center of one or more of the IgE binding sites with a~~  
19 ~~neutral or hydrophilic amino acid.~~

20

21   5.     ~~The method of claim 1 wherein binding of IgE to the modified allergen is blocked~~  
22 ~~by reaction of a compound with at least one amino acid present in an IgE binding site.~~

23

24   6.     ~~The method of claim 5 wherein binding of IgE is blocked by reaction of the~~  
25 ~~allergen with an antibody which blocks binding to one or more IgE sites but allows the~~  
26 ~~allergen to still activate T cells.~~

27

28   7.     ~~The method of claim 1 wherein the modified allergen is a portion of a protein.~~

29

30   8.     ~~The method of claim 1 wherein the modified allergen is formulated with an~~

1    ~~adjuvant selected from the group consisting of IL-12, IL-16, IL-18, Ifn- $\gamma$  or immune~~  
2    ~~stimulatory sequences.~~

3

4    9.    ~~The method of claim 1 wherein the modified allergen is screened for initiation of~~  
5    ~~a T cell helper 1 response.~~

6

7    10.   ~~The method of claim 1 wherein the modified allergen is made in a recombinant~~  
8    ~~host selected from the group consisting of plants, animals, bacteria, yeast, fungi, and~~  
9    ~~insect cells.~~

10

11   11.   ~~The method of claim 1 wherein the modified allergen is made in cells using site~~  
12   ~~specific mutation.~~

13

14   12.   ~~The method of claim 1 wherein the modified allergen is made from a peanut~~  
15   ~~allergen selected from the group consisting of Ara h 1, Ara h 2, and Ara h 3.~~

16

17   13.   ~~The method of claim 1 wherein the modified allergen is based on a protein~~  
18   ~~obtained from a source selected from the group consisting of legumes, milks, grains,~~  
19   ~~eggs, fish, crustaceans, mollusks, insects, molds; dust, grasses, trees, weeds, mammals,~~  
20   ~~birds, and natural latexes.~~

21

22   14.   ~~A modified allergen which is less reactive with IgE comprising at least one IgE~~  
23   ~~binding site present in the allergen modified by at least one amino acid change or having~~  
24   ~~at least one amino acid bound by a compound so that the site no longer binds IgE,~~  
25   ~~wherein the modified allergen activates T cells.~~

26

27   15.   ~~The modified allergen of claim 14 wherein the modified allergen binds IgG.~~

28

29   16.   ~~The modified allergen of claim 14 made by the process of~~  
30       ~~(a) — identifying one or more IgE binding sites in an allergen;~~  
31       ~~(b) — mutating at least one amino acid in an IgE binding site;~~

1           (c) ~~—screening for IgE binding to the mutated allergen and activation of T cells~~  
2   ~~by the mutated allergen; and~~

3           (d) ~~—selecting the modified allergens with decreased binding to IGE which~~  
4   ~~activate T cells.~~

5  
6   17.   ~~The modified allergen of claim 14 wherein the modified allergen is mutated in the~~  
7   ~~center of one or more of the IgE binding sites.~~

8  
9   18.   ~~The modified allergen of claim 14 wherein the modified allergen is mutated by~~  
10   ~~substituting a hydrophobic amino acid in the center of one or more of the IgE binding~~  
11   ~~sites with a neutral or hydrophilic amino acid.~~

12  
13   19.   ~~The modified allergen of claim 14 wherein binding of IgE is blocked by reaction~~  
14   ~~of a compound with at least one amino acid present in an IgE binding site.~~

15  
16   20.   ~~The modified allergen of claim 19 wherein binding of IgE is blocked by reaction~~  
17   ~~of the allergen with an antibody which blocks binding to one or more IgE sites but allows~~  
18   ~~the allergen to still activate T cells.~~

19  
20   21.   ~~The modified allergen of Claim 20 wherein the modified allergen does not have~~  
21   ~~significantly altered or decreased IgG binding capacity.~~

22  
23   22.   ~~The modified allergen of claim 14 which initiates a T cell helper 1 response.~~

24  
25   23.   ~~The modified allergen of claim 14 wherein the allergen is a portion of a protein.~~

26  
27   24.   ~~The modified allergen of claim 14 wherein the modified allergen is formulated~~  
28   ~~with an adjuvant selected from the group consisting of IL-12, IL-16, IL-18, Ifn- $\gamma$  and~~  
29   ~~immune stimulatory sequences.~~

30



- 1    25.    ~~The modified allergen of claim 14 wherein the modified allergen is made in a~~  
2    ~~transgenic plant or animal.~~  
3
- 4    26.    ~~The modified allergen of claim 14 expressed in a recombinant host selected from~~  
5    ~~the group consisting of plants and animals.~~  
6
- 7    27.    ~~The modified allergen of claim 17 expressed in a recombinant host selected from~~  
8    ~~the group consisting of bacteria, yeast, fungi, and insect cells.~~  
9
- 10   28.    ~~The modified allergen of claim 14 wherein the modified allergen is based on a~~  
11   ~~protein obtained from a source selected from the group consisting of legumes, milks,~~  
12   ~~grains, eggs, fish, crustaceans, mollusks, insects, molds, dust, grasses, trees, weeds,~~  
13   ~~mammals, birds, and natural latexes.~~  
14
- 15   29.    ~~The modified allergen of claim 14 wherein the modified allergen is made from a~~  
16   ~~peanut allergen selected from the group consisting of Ara h 1, Ara h 2, and Ara h 3.~~  
17
- 18   30.    ~~A nucleotide molecule encoding a modified allergen which is less reactive with~~  
19   ~~IgE comprising at least one IgE binding site present in the allergen modified by at least~~  
20   ~~one amino acid change so that the site no longer binds IgE, but wherein the modified~~  
21   ~~allergen activates T cells, as defined by any of claims 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 21 or 22.~~  
22
- 23   31.    ~~The molecule of claim 30 in a vector for expression in a recombinant host.~~  
24
- 25   32.    ~~A nucleotide molecule for causing a site specific mutation in a gene encoding a~~  
26   ~~protein which yields a modified allergen which is less reactive with IgE comprising at~~  
27   ~~least one IgE binding site present in the allergen modified by at least one amino acid~~  
28   ~~change so that the site no longer binds IgE, but wherein the modified allergen activates T~~  
29   ~~cells.~~  
30

1    33.    ~~A transgenic plant expressing a modified allergen which is less reactive with IgE~~  
2    ~~comprising at least one IgE binding site present in the allergen modified by at least one~~  
3    ~~amino acid change so that the site no longer binds IgE, but wherein the modified allergen~~  
4    ~~activates T cells, as defined by any of claims 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, or 23.~~

5  
6    34.    ~~A transgenic animal expressing a modified allergen which is less reactive with~~  
7    ~~IgE comprising at least one IgE binding site present in the allergen modified by at least~~  
8    ~~one amino acid change so that the site no longer binds IgE, but wherein the modified~~  
9    ~~allergen activates T cells, as defined by any of claims 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, or~~  
10    ~~23.~~

11  
12    35.    ~~A compound selectively binding to at least one amino acid in an IgE binding site~~  
13    ~~of an allergen, wherein the site no longer binds IgE, but wherein the allergen is able to~~  
14    ~~activate T cells, wherein the compound is obtained using a combinatorial library or~~  
15    ~~combinatorial chemistry and screening for reaction with the allergen to produce bound~~  
16    ~~allergen, followed by testing of the bound allergen for binding to IgE and activation of T~~  
17    ~~cells.~~

18  
19    36.    ~~A method to treat an individual to reduce the clinical response to an allergen~~  
20    ~~comprising administering to the individual a modified allergen which is less reactive with~~  
21    ~~IgE comprising at least one IgE binding site present in the allergen modified by at least~~  
22    ~~one amino acid change or having at least one amino acid bound by a compound so that~~  
23    ~~the site no longer binds IgE, wherein the modified allergen activates T cells, as defined~~  
24    ~~by any of claims 14-29, in an amount and for a time sufficient to reduce the allergic~~  
25    ~~reaction to the unmodified allergen.~~

## **METHODS AND REAGENTS FOR DECREASING CLINICAL REACTION TO ALLERGY**

### **Abstract**

5           It has been determined that allergens, which are characterized by both humoral  
(IgE) and cellular (T cell) binding sites, can be modified to be less allergenic by  
modifying the IgE binding sites. The IgE binding sites can be converted to non-IgE  
binding sites by masking the site with a compound that prevents IgE binding or by  
altering as little as a single amino acid within the protein, most typically a hydrophobic  
10   residue towards the center of the IgE binding epitope, to eliminate IgE binding. The  
method allows the protein to be altered as minimally as possible, other than within the  
IgE-binding sites, while retaining the ability of the protein to activate T cells, and, in  
some embodiments by not significantly altering or decreasing IgG binding capacity. The  
examples use peanut allergens to demonstrate alteration of IgE binding sites. The critical  
15   amino acids within each of the IgE binding epitopes of the peanut protein that are  
important to immunoglobulin binding have been determined. Substitution of even a  
single amino acid within each of the epitopes led to loss of IgE binding. Although the  
epitopes shared no common amino acid sequence motif, the hydrophobic residues located  
in the center of the epitope appeared to be most critical to IgE binding.